



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/053,113 | 01/17/2002 | Steven Victor Kauffman | SVL920010095US1 | 1808 | |
| 47069 7590 04/26/2005 EXAMINER | | | | | |
| KONRAD R. ATTN: IBM54 | AYNES & VICTOR, | CHEN, TE Y | | | |
| 315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, SUITE 210 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 | | | 2161 | | |
| | | , | DATE MAILED: 04/26/2003 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/053,113 | KAUFFMAN ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Susan Y. Chen | 2161 | |

| Borore the rining of all Appear Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Susan Y. Chen | 2161 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | RST REPLY WAS FILED | OWI NIHIIWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com. | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | e filed within two mon | ths of the date | | | | |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f, will not be entered t | pecause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | TE below); | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or | iter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | iected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>The amended claim (e,g. claim 16) raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search</u> . (Sec 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | timely filed emendm | ant conceling | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). | mowable ii subifficed iii a separace | , umery nied amendm | ent cancering | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wvided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | ut before or on the date of filing a N | lotice of Appeal will p | ot he entered | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidar | vit or other evidence i | s necessary | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| is to provide a 1). | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but | It does NOT place the application is | n condition for allows | uce pecalice. | | | | |
| | is 4000 1401 place the application if | i condition for allowa | nce pecause. | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | | |

UYEN LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER